Amount paid by DDA to advocates defending their cases

1741. SHRI GANDHI AZAD:
SHRI GOPALSINGH G. SOLANKI:

Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND POVERTY ALLEVIATION be pleased to refer to reply to Unstarred Question 2339 given in Rajya Sabha on 11th December, 2000 and state:

(a) whether Mrs. A. Salwan, S/Shri Vinay Sabharwal, A. Lal, Krishna Mani and Rajiv Nayyar, Senior Advocates have been appearing since 1990 in defending the cases, *inter-alia*, CW No. 1627/99 and CWP No. 4210/2000 on behalf of Government/DDA;

(b) if so, the details thereof and amount incurred by Government/ DDA thereon per hearing, advocate-wise and year-wise;

(c) whether there is a proposal to promote all the Class IV employees who have gone to court for getting their legitimate right of promotion; and

(d) if so, the details thereof and by when Government propose to promote them?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND POVERTY ALLEVIATION (SHRI BANDARU DATTATRAYA): (a) and (b) DDA has reported that CWP No.1627/99 is being conducted by Shri Rajiv Nayyar, Senior Standing Counsel alongwith Mrs. A Salwan, Standing Counsel. The details of the payment made to them per appearance alongwith the drafting charges and miscellaneous expenses till date are as under:—

Shri Rajiv Nayyar, Sr. Standing Counsel:

Payment Made	Year
Rs.17,600/-	2001

Mrs. A. Salwan, Standing Counsel:

Payment	Year
Rs.6,320/-	2000
Rs.2,200/-	2001

CW No.421/2000 is being conducted by Shri Vinay Sabharwal,

Panel Lawyer on behalf of DDA and till date no payment towards fee etc. has been paid to him.

Since the aforesaid writ petitions have been filed in the year 1999 and 2000 respectively, it cannot be said that the advocates named above have been appearing on behalf of DDA since 1990 in these cases.

(c) and (d) Some Class IV employees have qualified the examination in 1989, but could not be appointed/promoted due to non-availability of vacancies of LDCs at that time, have gone to the Court and the matter is subjudice. The Hon'ble Court has reserved 25 posts for them.

Area occupied by slum dwellers in Delhi

1742. SHRI BHAGATRAM MANHAR:
SHRI RAJU PARMAR:

Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND POVERTY ALLEVIATION be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that jhuggi dwellers have occupied large chunk of land in the capital meant for parks, community centres and other recreation activities;

(b) if so, the total area occupied by slum dwellers in the capital;

(c) whether any efforts have been made to forcibly get these jhuggi dwellers evicted from Government land; and

(d) if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND POVERTY ALLEVIATION (SHRI JAGMOHAN): (a) It is a fact that jhuggi dwellers have occupied over a period vacant land in the capital.

(b) No precise information is available because the land belongs to various land owning agencies. However, DDA has reported that about 700 acres of its land has been occupied by slum dwellers.

(c) and (d) Slum and JJ Department (MCD) has reported that the relocation of JJ clusters is done as per the approved policy of the